UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,000	05/04/2005	Hideki Izumi	Meisei-12 PCT/Minori	2591
	7590 08/27/200 I, OTTINGER, ISRA E	EXAMINER		
& SCHIFFMIL	LER, P.C.	TRUONG, DUC		
425 FIFTH AVENUE 5TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, N	NY 10016-2223	1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/534,000	IZUMI, HIDEKI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Duc Truong	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 h	May 2008					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	<i>,</i> —		osecution as to the merits is				
٠,١) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 13-24 is/are pending in the application	on.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminer				
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Naminon Note the attached Cines	7,00,017-017-017-01-02				
	-						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	to become become					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		San Nia				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	rr No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 05/19/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action.

Based on Applicant's arguments, the 103 rejection based on Patton et al is replaced by 102/103 using the same reference, as stated below:

Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Patton et al (3,321,533).

Patton reference discloses a catalyst composition for producing a polyethylene oxide polymer (see col. 4, line 51) wherein the catalyst composition comprises A component which is an organoaluminum compound such as aluminum alkyl and component B which is the base alkali metal hydroxide (see col. 1, lines 52-58; claims).

The disclosure of the reference differs from the instant claims in that it does not disclose the claimed MW.

However, the reference does disclose the required reactants for the claimed catalyst composition. In view of this similarity, it would appear to be inherent that the product, a catalyst composition having the claimed MW must be considered inherent in the prior art. See In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Nota that Applicant's arguments are based on the type of reaction product to form the catalyst composition. Said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Note that claim 13 discloses "---wherein the catalyst composition comprises component A----and component B----" in that the use of the term " comprises" in this particular case means the presence of A component and B component but does not require any type of reaction between two components, as in Applicant's arguments.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-1081. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,000 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Duc Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/534,000	IZUMI, HIDEKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Duc Truong	1796		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080825